Factors to Help Maintain an Effective CDR Team		
Category of Concern	Example	Possible Solutions
Ownership/ Focus	State agency tries to direct focus of CDR team to review child abuse and neglect deaths only.	 Appoint representatives with past success in public health prevention programming. Share success stories with state agency regarding public health prevention programs initiated by other state or local teams.
Funding	CDR team loses momentum due to lack of staff support for core team functions.	 Divide administrative duties, costs among several member agencies. Seek monies in the form of mini-grants from state or local foundations.
Confidentiality	CDR team member leaks confidential information learned at a review meeting to media reporter.	 Designate one member as media contact; should be media savvy and follow pre-set plan agreed upon by team. Require team members to sign confidentiality statements regularly; remind team on an ongoing basis about the importance of confidentiality and establish sanctions.
Leadership	Agency taking the lead designates a chairperson who lacks leadership skills.	 Form sub-committees to address certain issues, formulate recommendations based on team findings. Team appoints vice-chair who volunteers to help chairperson with tasks of team.
Trust	Agencies without a history of working together (or of prior conflict) do not trust each other.	 Have representatives share their agencies' policy and procedure information, to increase awareness of others' responsibilities. Choose a simple initiative to collaborate on that impacts both agencies, building trust.
Reporting	State CDR team lacks the ability to consistently obtain reports (data) from local CDR teams.	 One local CDR coordinator acts as reporting liaison between state and local CDR teams. Share statewide and local level aggregate data with local teams, emphasizing the importance of the local reporting.
	CDR team conducts thorough reviews, but fails to complete/submit case reports.	 Appoint agency data analyst to team whose sole task is case report completion and submission.
Reviews to Action	CDR team has difficulty taking CDR findings and turning them into concrete prevention action.	 Invite state and local experts on an ad-hoc basis to suggest possible paths of direction. Help team develop recommendations.
	CDR team lacks knowledge regarding effective prevention strategies.	 Provide/obtain information on successful prevention initiatives. Seek trainings/seminars for members.
	CDR team lacks awareness of groups that could help turn their findings and recommendations into action.	 Team works together to research what is available on state and local levels. Invite members from these organizations to speak to team.



Factors to Help Maintain an Effective CDR Team		
Category of Concern	Example	Possible Solutions
Overload	Where no local CDR teams exist, state CDR team can't effectively review all deaths in state.	 National Center assists state team in building participation at local level. State team reviews those cases that are representative of that cause of death.
Buy-in	CDR team member was appointed by supervisor, does not truly buy into CDR process.	 Send team member to state or national CDR training. Provide technical assistance and support, including information on causes of death, prevention initiatives/activities.
Population	Urban CDR team overwhelmed by caseload.	 Team focuses on one cause of death per meeting. Team coordinators screen cases under the jurisdiction of coroner/medical examiner, choosing to review those with complex or difficult issues.
	Rural CDR team meets infrequently if at all, due to lack of caseload.	 Team begins reviewing serious injury cases. Team meets when no deaths have occurred, to talk about prevention opportunities.
Productivity/ Accountability	CDR team has consistent problem with key members missing meetings.	 Have members designate alternates to attend when they cannot. Establish formal interagency agreements that outline role and commitment of agency/members.
	CDR team members do not come to meetings with case information.	 Team chair emphasizes which records will be of importance for each case in the meeting notices. Team chair obtains key records before meeting.
	Members fail to follow through on promised actions.	 Designated team member sends reminder emails week before meeting to those who volunteered to take action. Team keeps running account of actions taken on findings, so that follow-through becomes part of team process.
	Meetings begin to lack overall focus, productivity.	 Reiterate goals of process before each meeting. Send team members to CDR training.
Coordination	Team feels disconnected from state-level team due to lack of inter-communication.	 Local chair compiles team findings, sends them to state team and asks for feedback. Invite state team representative to meet with local team.
Quality Assurance	Team unsure of how the quality of their reviews compares to other teams in state.	 Attend regional or statewide team coordinator meetings for networking. Team members make contact with other teams, attend their reviews.
Access to Information	Team encounters problems with sharing case information across county/state lines.	Establish a standard records-sharing protocol signed by all appropriate counties.
	Team does not get timely notification of deaths that occur out-of-county.	 Contact CDR teams in regions where tertiary care centers exist, ask that they inform them when a child is transported to and dies in their county.

